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Abstract

Lung cancer is a primary health issue which preoccupies the health professional community worldwide. Lung cancer is one of the leading causes of death in both men and women in the developed countries. It is mainly divided into two types: The non small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and the small cell lung cancer (SCLC). The NSCLC is the most common type and consists the 87\% of lung cancer overall. The staging and the therapeutic approach of NSCLC is based on TNM classification system. Currently the 7\textsuperscript{th} revision is being used for the appropriate classification of the cancer stadium. By the end of 2016 a new 8\textsuperscript{th} TNM classification is awaited.
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Introduction

The lung cancer is one of the leading mortality etiologies in the USA (1). Lung cancer (LC) is mainly divided into two types: Non small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and small cell lung cancer (SCLC). The NSCLC includes 87\% of lung cancer cases with its most common types to be squamous cell carcinoma, large cell carcinoma, and adenocarcinoma. Adenocarcinomas account for approximately 40\% of lung cancers. Squamous cell carcinomas are about 25\% to 30\% and large cell (undifferentiated) carcinomas about 10\% to 15\% of all lung cancers. There are also some other rare types of non-small cell lung cancer, such as pleomorphic, carcinoid tumor, salivary gland carcinoma, and unclassified carcinoma. All subtypes of lung cancer may occur in atypical histologic variants as well as in mixed cell-type combinations.

The most clearly related cause of LC is the consumption of tobacco products (1-3). As by the end of 2016 a new 8\textsuperscript{th} TNM classification is awaited, the aim of this overview is to investigate the primary approach of LC and especially of the NSCLC. For this
reason, the basic aspects of NSCLC are reviewed. An overview of epidemiology, clinical manifestations, risk factors, description of 7th revision of the TNM classification of the stadiums of NSCLS and possible changes in the forthcoming TNM classification has been carried out in this review study.

Epidemiology

Only in the year 2008 six million new patients were diagnosed with LC worldwide. LC is the most common cancer type worldwide among men and the fourth most frequent among women. In the US, LC was the cause of 28% of all deaths due to cancer for the year 2012 (4, 5). In 2016 in the same country 224,390 new cases of lung cancer were registered. 117,920 of those cases concerned male and 106,470 female patients. During the same year 150,080 deaths involving LC occurred, 85,920 of which were male and 72,160 were female patients. Among the male population it was the second most frequently diagnosed cancer in the US (14%) following prostate cancer. As long as the female population is concerned, LC was the second most diagnosed type of cancer after breast cancer in the US, occurring by 13%. Regarding the calculated deaths among men and women it was the leading cause of death in the US with 27% and 26% respectively (1). However, the prevalence of LC statistically appears to be declining in America since the 1980s (2).

Epidemiologically the prevalence rates of LC seem to be higher in the developed and industrialized countries in America and Northern Europe than in the developing countries of the world (2, 6). The highest mortality rates in Europe are observed in Hungary and Serbia (2). The Southern European countries also present high rates of LC (4, 5). Generally, in 2012 the incidence of LC was 27.4% in both sexes in the western world (5). High diagnostic rates and high mortality are encountered in men in Southern Europe, East Asia, South Africa and the Far East. The percentages of LC in women in these areas is significantly lower (4, 5). In western culture, where the smoking habit has become more mature, more women smoke than before. For example, young girls between 13-15 years old smoke at the same rate as the boys of the same age. The incidence rates of LC are therefore expected to increase in the female population in the years to come (3). The lowest rates of LC diagnosis are encountered in central Africa whereas the documented data in these countries are rather poor (2).

Historically, about one century ago, LC was considered to be a rare clinical entity (4, 7). It was for the first time observed in workers in 1400 (4). However, with the high increase of tobacco consume during the 20th century also increased and the prevalence of this form of cancer (4, 7). In particular, it is believed that the current LC epidemiological occurrence is due to the increased smoking rates in the decades of 1940 and 1950 (7).

Risk factors

Smoking remains the leading cause and risk factor of LC (1-8). This form of cancer is related to the number of cigarettes smoked as well as the total duration of tobacco smoking during a person’s life. Smokers are consequently in a significantly higher risk to develop LC than the non-smokers (2, 9, 10). 90% of deaths caused by LC in men are considered to be mainly because of smoking (1). This relationship has been demonstrated by epidemiological studies and pathological changes in animal samples of lung tissue following exposure to cigarette smoke (7, 8, 10, 11). Since 1900 5.5 trillion cigarettes are estimated to have been consumed worldwide (3, 8). This may be due to the two great world wars that mankind experienced in the 20th century during which a mass distribution of cigarettes to fighting sholdiers took place (8). In the male population of developed countries a gradual stabilization of the incidence of LC has been observed (3). In the US, for example, there is a gradual decrease in the number of smokers. The total number is however still large. Smokers in this country are estimated at 42 million (2). However, in women an increased incidence of LC has been observed and this is because of the significant increase of the smoking habit among the female population. In countries in which the smoking habit has been reduced, the incidence of LC also tends to be reduced. On the other hand, due to increased smoking in developing countries it is expected that in these countries the incidence of LC among smokers will eventually be increased. In China, for example, where smoking rates are rapidly increasing, only in 2009 2,500 cigarettes were consumed pro capita (3). For this reason, in this country a rapid increase of LC is expected to occur (1,3). In the European Union, Greece has one of the highest rates of lung cancer under the age of 45 years. This is because of the early onset of smoking from a large portion of the population (3, 12).

There are also indications that second-hand smoking (passive smoking) also contributes to the development of the disease (13, 14). Passive smoking has been blamed for the 1.6% of total cases of LC (1). This hypothesis was firstly made in the 1980s and it was associated with LC in women and children of smokers (13, 14). The relationship appears to be dose-dependent. It is interesting that in this patient
group SCLC seems to be the most common type. The second hand smoking at home is also believed to have a greater impact on the appearance of LC than the second hand smoking exposition in the office (14). The exposure during childhood increases the risk of developing LC in adulthood to 3.6 times (1). This must be due to the fact that the home exposure is greater in duration and intensity than in other areas. As a result, wives and children of smokers are more likely to develop LC than other people around them (14). The probability of a non-smoker to develop LC due to passive smoking is estimated up to 25% (2, 4, 15). Based on this fact and on the high percentage of second hand smoking in certain countries LC is expected to dramatically increase (3). For example, in 2010 in some countries such as Greece, Turkey, Romania and Poland the exposure to second hand smoke reached up to more than 80% (3). In the other European Union countries second hand smoke exposure reaches up to 40% (3).

Radon exposure is the second most important cause of lung cancer, following tobacco smoke (16, 17). Radon gas is formed during the radioactive decay of uranium-238 (2, 18, 19). Radon is regarded as an independent risk factor for LC (16). It has a well established relation with lung cancer in miners working in uranium mines (2). The residential exposure to radon has also been considered to have a connection with LC in non-smokers, without this relation to be clearly according to the existing literature (2, 18). The risk of LC is higher in persons who are both exposed to radon and are also smokers (16). To sum up it is believed that radon is responsible for 2-3% of lung cancer cases annually (2). Asbestos is also an important environmental risk factor which is directly involved in the development of LC (1, 4, 20, 21). The silicate type of asbestos fibers is considered to be a dangerous carcinogen agent (2). These asbestos fibers are naturally occurring in rocks and soils (20). Historically, asbestos exposure has been strongly associated with mesothelioma and lung cancer (2, 20, 21). The risk of developing lung cancer increases by 5 times by direct expose to asbestos (2). This risk is higher in cases where asbestos exposure and smoking coexist (2, 20, 21).

Patients with HIV infection are also thought to be a high risk group concerning the developing lung cancer (2, 22, 23). The most common subtype of NSCLC in this patient group is adenocarcinoma. It seems that the risk is higher in patients who are or used to be smokers (23). However this statement is under debate (22).

Air pollution environmental factors seem to play a significant role in developing lung cancer as well (1, 2). Beryllium, nickel, copper, chromium, and cadmium are for instance some of the agents which have been connected with high risk of developing lung cancer (2). In Europe air pollution is accused to having played a role in the development of 11% of LC (1). In addition, several other aspects of the everyday life have been investigated. Dietary fiber and vegetables seem to protect humans from cancer (1, 2). Exercise also seems to have a protective effect against cancer (1). Underlying lung diseases may also play a role in developing lung cancer. Tuberculosis, emphysema and COPD have been accused for facilitating the progress of lung cancer (24-26).

Genetic predisposition is a current and widely investigated matter of study. The history of LC in the family rises the risk of the disease. The severity of the genetic predisposition can be demonstrated in cases of young patients. Epidemiologic and survival differences can be seen between patients with positive and those with negative family history of cancer. Family history is therefore considered to be an important factor of clinical features and serves as a prognostic indicator for NSCLC (27, 28). In addition, current research is focused on the molecular etiology of NSCLC. The Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (KRAS), and anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) mutations have been particularly studied as possible causes of NSCLC (4).

Table 1. Risk factors of NSCLC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors in Non-Small cell lung cancer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second hand/ passive smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphysema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Symptomatology

The diagnosis of the NSCLC can be difficult, because most patients are asymptomatic (2, 29). Symptoms can, however, exist. In most cases when typical symptomatology occurs, the progress of the disease is already advanced (2). In addition, the
Symptomatology can be due to the local spread of the disease, a metastatic disease or due to the presence of paraneoplastic syndroms (2, 29). At the point of the initial diagnosis of the NSCLC 20% of the patients have a localized disease, 25% a regional metastasis and 55% have a distant spread of the cancer disease (2).

The main symptoms of NSCLC are cough, chest pain, shortness of breath, coughing up blood, wheezing, hoarseness, recurring infections such as bronchitis and pneumonia, weight loss, loss of appetite and unusual fatigue (2, 29, 30). Other symptoms that may appear in patients with NSCLC and are due to local advance of the cancer disease may be some of the following: phrenic nerve paralysis, dysphagia, stridor, superior vena cava syndrome, pleural effusion, pericardial effusion, Pancoast syndrome, evidence of lymphangitic spread and cancer cachexia. Symptoms from extrathoracic organs may present due to the metastatic spread of the disease. For example, brain or bone metastases or spread to liver or to the adrenal glands or spread to the intraabdominal lymph nodes are just some of the remoted manifestations of the disease that may coexist. Coughing can be a very distressing symptom (31). It can be present in more than 65% of patients with lung cancer. Productive cough can be a primary symptom in 25% of lung tumors. However, chronic cough appears in less than 2% of lung cancer cases (32). It can coexist with sputum by smokers. It can be caused in NSCLC from postoperative pneumonia or lymph node enlargement that obstruct the lung bronchus (33). For this reason, if the involvement of a malignancy by persistent cough is suspected a bronchoscopy is indicated (32). Hemoptysis can also occur in any form and grade, from massive blood loss to bloody stigmata in sputum. However, this condition is rarely severe (33). Dyspnea may also appear in many cases. This can be due to atelectasis of the lung or a lobe or even due to pleural effusion, hemidiaphragm paralysis, pneumothorax or cardiac tamponade as a consequence of pericardial effusion (2, 29, 30, 33- 35). Chest pain can also be present in patients with NSCLC. In cases of infiltration of the chest wall, patients may complain of dull persistent pain. This pain is connected neither with breathing nor with coughing. Retrosternal pain can indicate massive hilar or mediastinal nodal involvement. Localized pain can be caused from direct invasion of the tumor in the chest wall or rib metastases (33, 36). Dysphagia can be due to direct infiltration of the esophagus (37), mediastinal disease (33, 38), cervical lymphadenopathy, brainstem lesions (38, 39) gastrointestinal tract metastases (33, 38), oropharyngeal and esophageal infections and radiation-induced oesophageal toxicity (38). The heart or the pericardium may be affected in 20% of the cases either directly from tumor infiltration or indirectly because of lymphocytic or hematogenous metastases. Malignant pericardial effusion can be often in lymphatic spread of the tumor (33, 40). Arrhythmias can also been present (40, 41), while myocardial infarction has also been diagnosed as a result of the compression of the coronary arteries (40).

Some lung cancer cases involve syndromes that separately cause specific symptoms. Horner syndrome can appear due to an infiltration of the sympathetic nerve supply. Clinically it is characterized by miosis, partial ptosis and hemifacial anhidrosis or anhidrosis of the upper limb. It is typically observed in cases of Pancoast tumors (29, 42). These malignant tumors are characterized by a malignant neoplasm of the superior sulcus of the lung with destructive invasion of the thoracic structures, the brachial plexus and cervical sympathetic nerves. These tumors are usually NSCLC tumors, mainly of squamous cell origin. They are followed by adenocarcinomas and the large cell carcinoma subtype (33, 42). Patients with pancoast tumors can complain of shoulder or arm pain. This symptomatology can lead them to an orthopedic specialist and this might cost a lot of time concerning the final diagnosis of the Pancoast tumor. For this reason, in cases of shoulder or arm pain that persists for more than 15 or 20 days an investigation of thorax with a chest X-Ray should always be considered (29, 42, 43). Superior vena cava syndrome is caused from the obstruction of the blood flow due to the compression of the superior caval vein by the lung tumor. It can be commonly manifested as headache, shortness of breath and rarely as cough, pain and dysphagia. Clinically swelling in the face, neck, arms, and upper chest may also be appear. This syndrome is an oncological emergency. The main histological types that cause it are the SCLC and squamous cell carcinoma (29, 44, 45). The tumor infiltration of specific nerves can also to a relevant symptomatology. For instance, infiltration of the phrenic nerve can lead to elevation of the hemidiaphragm. In addition, infiltration of the left recurrent laryngeal nerve can cause hoarness (29).

Symptoms can also exist because of the presence of distant metastases. The most common metastatic
site are the bones, followed by the lung, brain, liver and adrenal glands (45-48). As far as brain metastases are concerned adenocarcinoma is the commonest histological type which is connected with brain involvement (45). They can present as headache, vomiting, spasms, hemiparesis, dysfunction of cranial nerves or disorders of the visual field. These metastases can be, however, asymptomatic (2, 29). Metastatic spinal cord compression has also been observed (46). Bone metastases occur in up to 30-40% of patients with NSCLC. The most common metastatic sites are the bodies of the vertebrae, the pelvis and the femur. However, all bones are potential metastatic positions. These lesions appear radiographically as osteolytic lesions and very rarely as osteoblastic. Bone metastatic lesions cause skeletal pain, localized tenderness, elevated levels of calcium and alkaline phosphatase in serum (2, 29, 33, 47, 48). Both brain and bone metastases are connected with poor prognosis. However, the use of brain MRI, the early detection of brain metastases and the use of new medicaments in bone metastases (ex. Zoledronic) have improved the survival of these patients. Liver metastases are also connected with poor survival rates. They are rarely associated with typical severe symptomatology (48). They can however cause symptoms such as weakness, anorexia, weight loss and epigastric pain. Liver enzymes, alkaline phosphatase and lactate dehydrogenase are elevated in those cases. Multiple nodules of the liver can be morphologically palpated during the clinical examination (33). The biliary tract obstruction by lymphnode metastases is a rare condition (48). Adrenal gland metastases are also possible to occur in patients with NSCLC. They are usually discovered during staging procedures and they can rarely lead to renal insufficiency (33, 48).

Paraneoplastic Syndromes are clinical manifestations that are caused by the tumor but not due to local tumor expansion itself or the tumor metastases (29, 49). There are several paraneoplastic syndroms associated with lung cancer. The manifestations may be endocrine, neuromuscular or musculoskeletal, cardiovascular, cutaneous, hematologic, gastrointestinal, renal, or miscellaneous. They may precede other clinical manifestations, appear in advanced disease, be the first sign of the cancer disease or be a sign of recurrence of the disease after treatment. They are observed approximately in 10-20% of patients (50, 51). Motor-sensory neuropathy, arthritis, arthralgia, periarthritis, hypertrophic osteopathy, clubbing und pruritus can be observed (50). Hypertrophic osteopathy is characterized by painful swelling of the joints, which usually involves the ankles, knees, wrists and elbows. It is usually symmetrical. The clubbing is characterized by selective increase of connective tissue in the final phalanges of the fingers of upper and lower limbs. The frequency of clubbing is similar in both NSCLC and SCLC (17%) (52, 53). Patients can also develop hypercalcemia, because the tumor can secret a protein similar to Parathormone (PThrP). Hypercalcemia is often found in squamous carcinomas and is an unfavorable prognostic sign. Clinically it can appear with anorexia, nausea, vomiting, constipation, palpitations, polyuria, polydipsia, adipsia, dehydration, fatigue and consciousness disorders (29,54-56).

**TNM staging and Stadium of NSCLC**

The TNM (Tumor-Node-Metastasis) classification system of NSCLC is a very important tool for all physicians involved in oncological diseases for the evaluation of the prognosis and the planning of the suitable therapeutic procedures (57). The anatomical extent of the disease, the infiltration of lymph nodes and the presence of distant metastases should be identified during staging. The TNM classification and staging is performed through the diagnostic procedures and plays a significant role in the final treatment of the patient. It also enables the proper and effective communication between physicians of different specialties who are involved in the diagnosis and treatment of lung cancer (57, 58). In tables 2 and 3 the TNM classification and the Stadiums of the NSCLC by the 7th revision are demonstrated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7th classification</th>
<th>6th classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor (T)</td>
<td>T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor in diameter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2cm</td>
<td>T1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2cm&lt;T&lt;3cm</td>
<td>T1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3cm&lt;T&lt;5cm</td>
<td>T2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5cm&lt;T&lt;7cm</td>
<td>T2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&gt;7cm</td>
<td>T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodules in the same lobe</td>
<td>T4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastase in ipsilateral lung nodule</td>
<td>M1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastase (M)</td>
<td>M1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant pleural effusion</td>
<td>T4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodule in contralateral lung</td>
<td>M1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant metastses</td>
<td>M1b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Differences between 6th and 7th TNM classification.
Table 2a. 7th TNM classification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor (T)</th>
<th>Nodes (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tx</td>
<td>Nx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>N0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tis</td>
<td>N1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>N2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>N3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1b</td>
<td>M1-M1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By the 7th revision of the TNM classification several changes have been made concerning the T (Tumor) and M (Metastases) parameters. No changes on factor N (Nodules) were made. The 7th edition attempts to correlate in a better and more effective way the disease with prognostic value and treatment strategy (57, 60). One of the main modifications of T factor concerns the T1 stage. The limit of 3cm diameter of the primary tumor for T1 still exists. However, T1 is distinguished in T1a and T1b. This discrimination is based on the diameter of the tumor. If the primary tumor is smaller than or equal to 2 cm it is characterized according to the seventh staging as T1a. If the tumor is greater than 2 cm and less than or equal to 3 cm the T stage is characterized as T1b. Tumors greater than 3 cm in diameter is characterized as T2. If the primary tumor is less or equal than 5 cm, it is characterized as T2a. Bigger than 5 cm but less than 7 cm is characterized T2b. If the tumor is greater in diameter than 7 cm, then it is classified as T3. A primary tumor can also be classified as T3 by the presence of a malignant or malignant nodules in the same lobe. Radiologically is the display of these findings not frequent. However, by pathological staging these findings are frequent after surgical resection. By the previous TNM staging this stage of the disease was characterized as T4. The presence of the nodule or nodules in a different ipsilateral lobe of the lung is now considered by the 7th revision as T4. Before it was considered as M1.

Table 3. Stadium of TNM according to the 7th classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>N0</th>
<th>N1</th>
<th>N2</th>
<th>N3</th>
<th>M1-M1b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1a-T1b</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2a</td>
<td>IB</td>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2b</td>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1a-M1b</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the 7th TNM classification changes have occurred in the part of the metastases of the disease. Malignant metastases in the contralateral lung are considered as M1a. Also as M1a in the present TNM staging is regarded the malignant pleural effusion. In the previous staging the malignant pleural effusion was considered as T4. However, with this staging it showed poor prognosis, because of the pleural spread of disease regardless of regional lymph node. The presence of malignant pericardial effusion, the presence of pleural implants and metastasis in the contralateral lung are also considered as M1a according to the 7th TNM revision. Any other distal metastasis is mentioned as M1b (57-60).

A new revision of the TNM staging of the NSCLC is expected in late 2016. (57, 58). The lymph node involvement (N) was not revised in the last 7th
classification. The collection of oncological data from cancer centers of Asia and Africa was incomplete for the last 7th staging revision. For example not all countries, have the same possible means of therapeutical treatment of the patients due to financial issues or problems of any other nature. It should be also mentioned that for the 7th revision the computer tomography was mainly used. By the following revision the PET/CT could also be used.

While waiting for the new 8th TNM classification several ideas for changes have been proposed. More specifically Rami-Porta R et al. proposed a further subclassification of T1 tumors. T1 could be further classified in T1a, T1b and T1c with T1a having a diameter of less or equal to 1cm, T1b greater than 1cm and less or equal to 2cm and T1c less or equal to 3cm. In addition, a new classification is proposed for T2 tumors. According to this proposal as T2 would be classified tumors which are greater than 3cm and less or equal to 5cm. As a result, a new subdivision of T2 having as basis of 4cm diameter has also been proposed. This could be 3cm<T2a≤4cm and 4cm<T2b≤5cm. As far as T3 tumors are concerned the upper diameter limit is proposed at 7cm, while T4 at greater than 7cm. On the other hand, the invasion of the main bronchus regardless of the distance from the carina is proposed to be classified as T2. T2 is also proposed to include the atelectasis or pneumonitis of the lung regardless of its extent. The diaphragm invasion is proposed to be considered as T4 in the 8th classification and not T3 as in it was classified in the 7th revision. Moreover, Rami-Porta R et al. proposed that the mediastinal pleura invasion should not play any role in assessing the T stadium of the disease. As far as the M factor is concerned Eberhardt WE et al. have proposed a new classification. Only single metastases in single organs should entitled as M1b. An other M1c category is also proposed to be made. This new category would include multiple metastases in one or multiple organs. Cases with pleural/pericardial effusions, contralateral/bilateral lung nodules, contralateral/bilateral pleural nodules or a combination of multiple of these parameters should be further classified as M1a.

What is more, for the forthcoming 8th classification Asamura et al. proposed the preservation of the N classification, claiming that it can adequately predict the prognosis of the cancer disease. However, in this area a further classification has also been proposed (N1a, N1b, N2a, N2b, and N3) (63). In addition, Detterbeck FC et al. proposed an inclusion of LC types that are presented as multiple pulmonary sites or multiple nodules with ground glass or lepidic features or LC with a pneumonia type of involvement in the 8th TNM classification. The health professionals who are engaged with the therapy and diagnosis of NSCLC and generally LC anticipate the upcoming TNM classification as it is expected to change the therapeutic approach of one to six patients. Regarding the methods of staging, there are still issues with the usage of PET-CT for the evaluation of lesions (primary mass or lymph nodes <1cm) where the “negative” results could be false negative or there are cases where we have false positive. There are cases where for peripheral lesions <3cm with negative mediastinum lymph nodes < 1cm PET-CT has a high rate of false negative results. Therefore, endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) or mediastinoscopy should be considered again in such cases. Another issue regarding the EBUS method is the lack of appropriate expertise and application by many centers. For example, it has been stated that at least three passes are necessary in order to have optimal material for evaluation.

Conclusion

The NSCLC is a multifactorial disease. Therefore, the approach of the disease should be multidisciplinary. The symptomatology is almost always not specific for the disease. For this reason, a careful approach should be always carried out and the tumor disease should be always in mind of the clinician. By the first and diagnostic approach of the disease the patient should be thoroughly asked, investigated, asked for the suspected causes and all possible risk factors should be taken into consideration. Last but not least, it is highly important that all medical professionals that deal with the diagnosis and the treatment of the NSCLC are familiar with the actual staging classification system.
Figure 1. Squamous cell carcinoma left. Surgically treated with VATS Lobectomy. pT3N1. Tumor: 8x7,5x8cm, infiltration of Visceral pleura and infiltration of intrapulmonary nodes.

Figure 2. Adenocarcinoma surgically treated with lobectomy with posterolateral thoracotomy. pT1aN2
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